

Appl. No. 10/798,488
Amdt. Dated 04/01/2005
Reply to Office Action of February 1, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed February 1, 2005. In the Office Action, claims 1-20 were cited as being subject to a restriction requirement. More specifically, claims 7-12 were restricted to species I, claims 1-6 were restricted to species II, claims 13-16 were restricted to species III and claims 17-20 were restricted to class IV. Applicant hereby elects claims 1-6 in species II. Accordingly, Applicants have withdrawn claims 7-20 from consideration in order to comply with the restriction requirement.

Reexamination and reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested in view of the amendments made herein and the following remarks.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request examination of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 04/01/2005

By


William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and
Trademark Office.

Date: 04/01/2005


Susan McFarlane

04/01/2005

Date